المعلكة العربية السعودية وزارة التعليم العالى جأمكة أعراك كرية معهد البحوث العلمية مركز بعوث العلوم التطبيقية

سلسلة بحوث العلوم التطبيقية



بنية جبرهيكي للزمرة الخطية العامة

د / أحمد بن علي الخماش قسم العلوم الرياضية - كلية العلوم التطبيقية جامعة أم القرى - مكة المكرمة

١٤٢٤هـ - ٢٠٠٣م

$GL(2, p^n)$ بنية جبر هيكي للزمرة الخطية العامة

د. أحمد بن علي الخماش قسم العلوم الرياضية - كلية العلوم التطبيقية تجامعة أم القرى مكة المكرمة

ملخص البحث

يهدف هذا البحث إلى دراسة بنية جبر هيكي $E(N,\lambda)$ للزمره الخطية العامــة مــن المرتبة الثانية المرافقة للزوج (N,λ) حيث N هي زمرة المصفوفات التبديلــية و λ التمثيل الخطي للزمرة λ المعرف بدلالة تمثيل الإشارة. في هذا البحــث يــتم تحديــد الأساس و معاملات البنية لهذا الجبر . كما نستخدم جبر الحدوديــات لتحليل جبر هيكي عن طريق تحليل العنصر المحايد الى مجموع لعناصر الحدوديــات لتحليل جبر هيكي عن طريق تحليل العنصر المحامدة ومــتعامدة ولدراسة عناصر الوحدة في جبر هيكي.

Umm AL-Qura University Press

- (1) If $\dim_k E(N,\lambda) > 2$ then evaluating $\det(A(x))$ with respect to the bottom row we see that $\det(A(x)) = 0$, hence x is not unit.
- (2) If $\dim_k E(N,\lambda) = 2$ then, by 2.6, the characteristic of k must be 2. On the other hand $c_e = 0$ implies that $\det(A(x)) = 2c_0^2(q-1) = 0$ and so x is not unit.

REFERENCES

- [1] Curtis, C. W., The Steinberg character of a finite group with a BN-pair, J.Algebra 4 (1966), 433-441.
- [2] Curtis, C. W. and Fosum, T. V., On centralizer rings and characters of representations of finite groups, Math. Zeitschr. 107, 402-406 (1968).
- [3] Curtis, C. W., Iwahori, N. and. Kilmoyer, R. W, Hecke algebras and characters of parapolic type of finite groups with BN-pairs, Pull.Math. I.H.E.S, 40 (1971),81-116.
- [4]. Curtis, C. W and Reiner, I., Methods of representation theory volume 2, Wiley-Interscience, New York 1987.
- [5] Iwahori, N., On the structure of the Hecke ring of a Chevalley group over a finite field, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 10(1964), 215-236.
- [6] Khammash, A., Hecke algebras and the socle of the projective indecomposable modules, J. Algebra 192, 294-302 (1997).
- [7] Kreig, A., Hecke algebras, Memories of the AMS #435, Providence 1990
- [8] Landrock, P., Finite group algebras and their modules, Cambridge University Press 1984.
- [9] Pierce, R. S., Associative Algebras, Springer-Verlag GTM #88.
- [10] Sawada, H., A characterization of the modular representations of finite groups with split BN-pairs, Math. Z. 155 (1977), 29-41.

 $e_1 = \frac{1}{q+1}(a_0 - 2)$ and $e_2 = \frac{1}{q+1}(a_0 + (q-1))$ are two orthogonal idempotents in $E(N,\lambda)$ such that $1_{E(N,\lambda)} = e_1 + e_2$.

Generally we may use the relations in 4.10 together with the action given in 4.11 to characterize the set of idempotents in the algebra $E(N,\lambda)$ as explained in the following proposition

PROPOSITION 6.3 Suppose that $x = \sum_{\alpha \in X} c_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \in E(N, \lambda)$. Then x is idempotent if and only if the coefficients c_{α} satisfy the following identities

$$c_{e}(c_{e}-1) + \sum_{e \neq \alpha \in X} 2(q-1)c_{\alpha}^{2} = 0$$

$$c_{0}(2c_{e}-1) - \sum_{e \neq \beta \in X} (q-3)c_{\beta}^{2} = 0$$

$$c_{\alpha}(2c_{e}-1) = 0 , \forall \alpha \in X \setminus \{0, e\}$$

PROOF Compare the coefficients in $x^2 = x$ and use the fact that a_{α} ; $\alpha \in X$ are linearly independent in $E(N, \lambda)$.

Note that the identities in 6.3 hold in particular for the idempotents e_1, e_2 of $E(N, \lambda)$ which are defined in 6.1.

Next we consider the units; that is the set of invertible elements, of the Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$. The following gives a partial characterization for the units in $E(N,\lambda)$.

PROPOSITION 6.4 If $x = \sum_{\alpha \in X} c_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \in E(N, \lambda)$ is a unit, then $c_{e} \neq 0$.

PROOF Relative to the basis $\{a_{\alpha}; \alpha \in X\}$, the element $x = \sum_{\alpha \in X} c_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \in E(N, \lambda)$ acts on $E(N, \lambda)$ according to the matrix

$$A(x) = \begin{array}{ccccccc} a_e & c_e & 2c_0(q-1) & 2c_{\alpha}(q-1) & 2c_{\beta}(q-1) \\ a_0 & c_0 & c_e - c_0(q-3) & -c_{\alpha}(q-3) & -c_{\beta}(q-3) \\ c_{\alpha} & 0 & c_e & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ c_{\beta} & 0 & 0 & c_e \end{array}$$

Hence x is a unit in $E(N,\lambda)$ if and only if A(x) is nonsingular, that is if and only if $\det(A(x)) \neq 0$. Now suppose that $c_e = 0$ then we consider two cases:

such that $A_1(X)\Phi_1(X)+A_2(X)\Phi_2(X)=1$. Let $e_i=A_i(a)\Phi_i(a)$; i=1,2. Then both E_1 and E_2 are non-zero and $\mathbf{1}_A=e_1+e_2$ is an orthogonal idempotent decomposition in A.

PROOF It is clear from the hypothesis that

$$e_1 + e_2 = A_1(a)\Phi_1(a) + A_2(a)\Phi_2(a) = 1_A$$

Also, since $\Phi_1(a)\Phi_2(a) = \Phi(a) = 0$, it follows that

$$e_1e_2 = e_2e_1 = A_1(a)A_2(a)\Phi_1(a)\Phi_2(a) = 0$$

Therefore, $e_1=e_1\mathbf{1}_A=e_1(e_1+e_2)=e_1^2+e_1e_2=e_1^2$. Similarly $e_2^2=e_2$ Now to prove that $e_1\neq 0\neq e_2$, suppose that $e_1=0$, then $A_1(a)\Phi_1(a)=0$ and so $\Phi(X)\mid A_1(X)\Phi_1(X)$. But this implies that $\Phi_2(X)$ divides both $A_1(X)\Phi_1(X)$ and $A_2(X)\Phi_2(X)$, hence

$$\Phi_2(X) \mid A_1(X)\Phi_1(X) + A_2(X)\Phi_2(X) = 1$$

which is a contradiction and so $e_1 \neq 0$. Similarly $e_2 \neq 0$.

§6. IDEMPOTENTS IN $E(N, \lambda)$.

We shall apply the method described in the previous section to find an orthogonal idempotents decomposition of the identity of Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$. It is well known from the Brauer-Fitting theorem (see [8], 1.4) that such decomposition of a_e gives rise to a decomposition of $E(N,\lambda)$ into a direct sum of algebras and hence to a decomposition for the kG-module $Y(N,\lambda)$.

PROPOSITION 6.1 Suppose that $p \nmid q+1$, and let $e_1 = -\frac{1}{q+1}(a_0 - 2)$, $e_2 = \frac{1}{q+1}(a_0 + (q-1)) \in E(N, \lambda)$. Then e_1, e_2 are orthogonal idemoptents in $E(N, \lambda)$ such that $a_e = 1_{E(N, \lambda)} = e_1 + e_2$.

PROOF Take $\alpha = 0$ in 4.10. Then we have $a_0^2 = 2(q-1) - (q-3)a_0$, hence $a_0^2 + (q-3)a_0 - 2(q-1) = 0$ is the minimum equation of a_0 , and so

6.2
$$X^2 + (q-3)X - 2(q-1) = (X-2)(X+(q-1))$$

is the minimum polynomial of a_0 with $A_1(X) = (X-2)$ and $A_2(X) = (X+(q-1))$ have no common divisor in k[X]. Now we may apply proposition 5.2 to the identity 6.2 and take $\Phi_1 = \frac{-1}{q+1}$, $\Phi_2 = \frac{1}{q+1}$ to get

PROPOSITION 4.10 The Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$ is generated as kalgebra by $\{a_{\alpha}; \alpha \in X \setminus \{e,0\}\}$ subject to the relations:

$$a_{\alpha}a_{\beta} = 0 \qquad \forall \alpha \neq \beta ,$$

$$a_{\alpha}^{2} = 2(q-1)a_{e} - (q-3)a_{0} \qquad \forall \alpha \in X \setminus \{e\}$$

From the above presentation of the Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$ we deduce the following action of the elements of $E(N,\lambda)$ on the basis elements a_{β} , $\beta \in X$

4.11
$$(\sum_{\alpha \in X} c_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}) a_{\beta} = 2c_{\beta} (q-1) a_{e} - c_{\beta} (q-3) a_{0} + c_{e} a_{\beta} \quad \forall e \neq \beta \in X$$

§5. POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRA AND IDEMPOTENTS

Constructing idempotents is an essential step towards analyzing any algebra. In this section we shall explain a technique for constructing idempotents in algebras by means of identities in the polynomial algebras. This method shall be applied in the next section to construct idempotents in the Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$ considered in the previous sections. Suppose that A is a finite dimensional k-algebra with an identity 1_A and that a is an element of A. Since A is finite dimensional ,there must be a positive integer n such that the set $\left\{1, a, a^2, a^3, ..., a^n\right\}$ is linearly dependent; if n is the least with this property then we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i a^i = 0 \quad \text{for some (not all zero)} c_i \in k$$

Equation 5.1 is called the minimum equation for a and the polynomial $\Phi(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i X^i \in k[X]$ is called the minimum polynomial for a. If $\Omega(X)$

is any other polynomial in k[X] such that $\Omega(a)=0$ then , using the minimality of $\Phi(X)$, it is easy to see that $\Phi(X) \mid \Omega(X)$. The following shows how to construct, out of $\Phi(X)$, an orthogonal idempotent decomposition of 1_A .

PROPOSITION 5.2 Let A be a finite dimensional k-algebra and let $a \in A$. Suppose that $\Phi(X) = \Phi_1(X)\Phi_2(X)$ is the minimum polynomial of a, where $\Phi_1(X), \Phi_2(X)$ are non-constant polynomial in k[X] with no common divisor in k[X]. By Euclid's algorithm there exist $A_1, A_2 \in k[X]$

(In the later case $\alpha \neq \beta$, for if $\alpha = \beta$ then $b + \alpha^2 = 0$, but $b = -\beta = -\alpha$ and so $\alpha^2 = \alpha$, hence $\alpha = 1$.)

$$\Leftrightarrow n_a^+ g_\beta n_b^+ g_a = g_0 \binom{*}{0} * \qquad \forall g_0 \binom{0}{*} * \qquad \forall g_0$$

Now suppose that $0 \neq \gamma \in X$. Then

$$n_{a}^{+}g_{\beta}n_{b}^{+}g_{\alpha} \in g_{\gamma}N \Leftrightarrow [ab+b=b+\beta \wedge b+a\beta=\gamma(ab+\alpha\alpha)]$$

$$\vee [b+\alpha\beta=ab+\alpha\alpha \wedge b+\beta=\gamma(ab+\alpha)]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [a={}^{b+\beta}{}_{b+1}\wedge b={}^{\gamma\beta-\gamma\alpha+\gamma\alpha\alpha-\alpha\beta}{}_{1-\gamma}]$$

$$\vee [b-\alpha\alpha-\alpha\beta \wedge \alpha-b+\beta \wedge \alpha-b+\beta$$

Note that $b \neq -1$, $a \neq 1$; otherwise $\beta = 1$. The first case gives the coefficient $\lambda(n_{b+\beta}^+)\lambda(n_{b+1}^+)\lambda(n_{b+2}^+)\lambda(n_{b+2}^+)\lambda(n_{b+2}^+)\lambda(n_{b+2}^+)\lambda(n_{b+2}^+)\lambda(n_{b+2}^+)\lambda(n_{b+2}^+)\lambda(n_{b+2}^+)\lambda(n_{b+2}^+)\lambda(n_{a(a-\beta)/-}^+)\lambda(n_{a(a$

Summarizing we have the following

THEOREM 4.9 The structure constants $t_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ of the Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$ are given as follows:

$$t_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \begin{cases} -(q-3) & \text{if } \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0 \\ 2(q-1) & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \text{ and } \gamma = e \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now we translate theorem 4.9 into the following presentation for the Hecke algebra $E(N, \lambda)$

 $(a = \gamma^{-1}\beta \wedge b = {}^{\beta-\beta\gamma^{-1}}/{}_{\beta\gamma^{-1}-1}) \vee (a = \beta \wedge b = {}^{\beta\gamma-\beta}/{}_{1-\beta\gamma})$ (note that $\gamma\beta \neq 1$ by our choice of the index set $X \subseteq F_q$.). In the first case we have $n_a^+ g_\beta n_b^+ g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ which gives the coefficient +1, and in the second case we get $n_a^+ g_\beta n_b^+ g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ which gives the coefficient -1, hence we get 0 when we sum over all a,b. This proves the following

PROPOSITION 4.7 If $\beta \neq 0$, then $t_{0,\beta,\gamma} = 0$ for all $\gamma \in X$. \square

(3) The case when $\alpha \neq 0 \neq \beta$

In this case, $sg_{\beta}vg_{\alpha}$; $s \in R_{\beta}$, $v \in R_{\alpha}$, takes the following form:

(*)
$$n_a^+ g_\beta n_b^+ g_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a(1+b) & ab + a\alpha \\ b+\beta & b+\alpha\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

Now $(*) \in N \Leftrightarrow (b = -1 \land \alpha\beta = 1) \lor (\alpha = \beta = -b)$, the first case is rejected since $\alpha\beta \neq 1$, by our choice of the index set X. The second case is valid if and only if $b = \alpha$ which gives the set

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} n_a^+ g_\beta n_{-\alpha^{-1}}^+ g_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a(1-\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha+\beta \end{pmatrix}; a \in F_q^* \right\} \quad \text{, each member of this set}$$
 contributes the coefficient $\lambda(n_a^+)\lambda(n_{-\alpha^{-1}}^+)\lambda\begin{pmatrix} a(1-\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha+\beta \end{pmatrix} = 1$. Hence when we sum over all $a \in F_a^*$ we get q-1. This proves the following

PROPOSITION 4.8 Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in X$ with $\alpha \neq 0 \neq \beta$. Then

(1)
$$t_{\alpha,\beta,e} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta$$
,

(2)
$$t_{\alpha,\alpha,e} = q - 1 ,$$

(3)
$$t_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = 0$$
 for all $\gamma \ (\neq e) \in X$.

Also, $(*) \in g_0 N \Leftrightarrow [b + \alpha \beta = 0 \land a(b+1) = b + \beta] \lor [b + \beta = 0 \land a(b+\alpha) = b + \alpha \beta]$ $\Leftrightarrow [b = -\alpha \beta \land a = \frac{\beta - \alpha \beta}{-\alpha \beta}] \lor [b = -\beta \land a = \frac{\alpha \beta - \beta}{\alpha - \beta}]$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(b+1) & ab \\ b+\beta & b \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (ab+1) & a \\ b+\beta & \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $b,ab,a,\beta\neq 0$, it follows that $sg_{\beta}vg_{0}\notin N$, for all $s\in R_{\beta}$, $v\in R_{0}$. Therefore we have $t_{0,\beta,e}=0$. On the other hand $\begin{pmatrix} a(b+1) & ab \\ b+\beta & b \end{pmatrix} \in g_{0}N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}; x,y\in F_{q}^{*} \right\} \text{ if and only if } b+\beta=0 \land ab=b \text{ , that is if and only if } b=-\beta \land a=1 \text{ , in which case } \begin{pmatrix} a(b+1) & ab \\ b+\beta & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b+1 & b \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b+1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ hence contributes the coefficient}$

$$\lambda(n_1^+)\lambda(n_{-\beta}^+)\lambda\begin{pmatrix}0&-\beta\\1-\beta&0\end{pmatrix}=-1$$
While $\begin{pmatrix}a(b+1) & a\\b+\beta&\beta\end{pmatrix}\in g_0N=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}x&y\\x&0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}y&x\\0&x\end{pmatrix}; x,y\in F_q^*\right\}$ if and only if $b+\beta=0 \land a=\beta$, that is if and only if $b=-\beta \land a=\beta$ in which case $\begin{pmatrix}a(b+1) & a\\b+\beta&\beta\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}-\beta^2+\beta&\beta\\0&\beta\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0&\beta\\-\beta^2+\beta&0\end{pmatrix}$, hence gives the coefficient

4.6
$$\lambda(n_a^+)\lambda(n_b^-)\lambda\begin{pmatrix}0&\beta\\-\beta^2+\beta&0\end{pmatrix}=1$$

By summing 4.5 and 4.6 we get $t_{0,\beta,0} = 0$. Now if $\gamma \in X \setminus \{0,1\}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(b+1) & ab \\ b+\beta & b \end{pmatrix} \in g_{\gamma}N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ x & yy \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ yy & x \end{pmatrix}; x, y \in F_q^{\bullet} \right\} \iff$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a(b+1) = b + \beta \land \gamma ab = b) \lor (ab = b \land b + \beta = \gamma a(b+1))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (b = \frac{1-\gamma^{-1}}{\gamma^{-1}-\beta} \wedge a = \gamma^{-1}) \vee (a = 1 \wedge b = \frac{\gamma-\beta}{1-\gamma}) \text{ (note that } \gamma \neq 1 \text{)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow n_a^+ g_\beta n_b^+ g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \quad \vee \qquad n_a^+ g_\beta n_b^+ g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ which}$$

contributes the coefficients +1, -1, respectively cancelling each other when we sum over a,b. similarly

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(b+1) & a \\ b+\beta & \beta \end{pmatrix} \in g_{\gamma}N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ x & \gamma y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ \gamma y & x \end{pmatrix}; x, y \in F_q^* \right\} \iff$$

Also $n_a^- g_0 n_b^+ g_0 \in g_0 N \Leftrightarrow b+1=0, ab=b \Leftrightarrow b=-1, a=1$, in which case $n_1^- g_0 n_{-1}^+ g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ which contributes the coefficient $\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1$. Summing up the coefficients from both cases we get

PROPOSITION 4.3
$$t_{0.0.0} = -(q-3)$$
.

Now for an arbitrary $\gamma \in F_a^*$ we have

$$g_{\gamma}N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ x & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y & x \\ \gamma y & x \end{pmatrix}; x, y \in F_q^* \right\} \qquad . \qquad \text{Therefore} \quad n_a^+ g_0 n_b^- g_0,$$

 $n_a^- g_0 n_b^- g_0 \notin g_\gamma N$ for all $a, b \in F_q^*$, while $n_a^+ g_0 n_b^+ g_0 \in g_\gamma N$ if and only if $[ab + a = b \land b = \gamma ab] \lor [ab = b \land b = \gamma (ab + a)]$

$$\Leftrightarrow [a = \frac{b}{b+1} \land \gamma = \frac{b+1}{b}] \lor [a = 1 \land \gamma = \frac{b}{b+1}] ; b \neq 0,-1$$

in which case
$$n_{b_{h+1}}^+ g_0 n_b^+ g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & b+1/b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & b^2/b+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$n_1^+ g_0 n_b^+ g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{b}{b+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{(b+1)^2}{b} \\ b+1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

contributing coefficients 1 and -1, respectively, hence canceling each other when summing over all b. Similarly

$$n_a^-g_0n_b^+g_0\in g_\gamma N\Leftrightarrow [ab=b+1\wedge b=\gamma(b+1)]\vee [ab=b\wedge b+1=\gamma b]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$
 $[a = b + 1/b \land \gamma = b/b + 1] \lor [a = 1 \land \gamma = b + 1/b]$, in which case

$$n_{b+\frac{1}{2}b}^{-}g_{0}n_{b}^{+}g_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b+1 & 0 \\ 0 & b+1 \end{pmatrix} \vee n_{b}^{-}g_{0}n_{b}^{+}g_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

contributing coefficients -1, 1; respectively, hence canceling each other when summing over all b. This proves the following

PROPOSITION 4.4
$$t_{0,0,\gamma} = 0$$
 for all $\gamma \neq e,0 \in X$.

(2) The case when $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$:

Consider the elements $sg_{\beta}vg_0$, where $s\in R_{\beta}$ and $v\in R_0$. By our choice of those transversal, $sg_{\beta}vg_0$ takes one of the following two forms

$$n_{a}^{+}g_{0}n_{b}^{+}g_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} ab + a & ab \\ b & b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$n_{a}^{+}g_{0}n_{b}^{-}g_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} ab + a & a \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$n_{a}^{-}g_{0}n_{b}^{-}g_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} ab & 0 \\ b+1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$n_{a}^{-}g_{0}n_{b}^{+}g_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} ab & ab \\ b+1 & b \end{pmatrix}$$

Now for all $a,b\in F_q^*$, we have $n_a^+g_0n_b^+g_0$, $n_a^-g_0n_b^+g_0\not\in N$. On the other hand $n_a^+g_0n_b^-g_0\in N$ if and only if b=-1 in which case $n_a^+g_0n_b^-g_0=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This contributes the coefficient $\lambda(n_a^+)\lambda(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})\lambda(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})=1$ for all $a\in F_q^*$ giving a total coefficient equals (q-1). Similarly $n_a^-g_0n_b^-g_0\in N$ if and only if b=-1 in which case $n_a^-g_0n_b^-g_0=\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. This contributes the coefficient $\lambda(n_a^-)\lambda(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})\lambda(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix})=1$, giving (q-1) as a total coefficient. This proves the following

PROPOSITION 4.2
$$t_{0,0,e} = 2(q-1)$$
.

To evaluate the constant $t_{0,0,0}$, we replace N by g_0N in the above discussion and note that $g_0N=\left\{\begin{pmatrix} x&y\\x&0\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix} y&x\\0&x\end{pmatrix};x,y\in F_q^*\right\}$. Therefore $n_a^+g_0n_b^+g_0,n_a^-g_0n_b^-g_0\not\in g_0N$. On the other hand $n_a^+g_0n_b^-g_0\in g_0N$ if and only if ab+a=b in which case $n_a^+g_0n_b^-g_0=\begin{pmatrix} b&b_{b+1}\\b&0\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} 1&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} b&0\\0&b_{b+1}\end{pmatrix}$ which contributes the following coefficient

$$\sum_{-1 \neq b \in F_q^*} [\lambda(n_{b/b+1}^+) \lambda(n_b^-) \lambda(\begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & b/b+1 \end{pmatrix}) = -1] = -(q-2).$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} (N \cap^{g_{\alpha}} N) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} (N \cap^{g_{\alpha}} N) = \begin{pmatrix} xy^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (N \cap^{g_{\alpha}} N),$$
 and
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} (N \cap^{g_{\alpha}} N) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^{-1} \\ \alpha^{-1}y^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} (N \cap^{g_{\alpha}} N) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1}xy^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (N \cap^{g_{\alpha}} N),$$
 we may take
$$R_{\alpha} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n \\ n_{\alpha}^{+} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \alpha \in F_{q}^{*} \right\}.$$

§4 THE STRUCTURE CONSTANTS OF $E(N, \lambda)$

For every $\alpha \in F_q^* \setminus \{1,-1\}$, let $a_\alpha \in E(N,\lambda)$ be the operator given by $a_\alpha([N_\lambda]) = \sum_{r \in R} \lambda(r) r g_\alpha[N]_\lambda$. Then from the results of §2 we saw that

 $E(N,\lambda)=k-a\lg\operatorname{ebra}\langle a_e,a_\alpha\,;\,\alpha\in F_q^*\setminus\{1,-1\}\rangle,\quad \text{where}\quad a_e\text{ is the identity}$ operator of $E(N,\lambda)$; that is the operator which corresponds to N. Also for all $\alpha,\beta\in F_q\setminus\{1,-1\}$ we have $a_\alpha a_\beta=\sum_{\gamma\in X}t_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\,a_\gamma$, where X is a subset of

 F_q which indexes the basis elements of $E(N,\lambda)$. Since $D_\alpha=D_{\alpha^{-1}}$ for all $\alpha\in F_q^*$, it follows that $a_\alpha=a_{\alpha^{-1}}$ and so we may choose the index set $X\subseteq F_q^*$ so that $\alpha\beta\neq 1$ for all $\alpha,\beta\in X$. We also take $0,e\in X$. From proposition 1.2 we have

4.1
$$t_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \sum_{\substack{r \in R_{\beta}, v \in R_{\alpha} \\ rg_{\beta}vg_{\alpha} = g_{\gamma}n \in g_{\gamma}N}} \lambda(r)\lambda(v)\lambda(n)$$

To determine $t_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ and since R_{α} depends on weather $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha \neq 0$ and because $E(N,\lambda)$ is commutative we only need to consider the following three cases:

(1)
$$\alpha = \beta = 0$$
, (2) $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, (3) $\alpha \neq 0 \neq \beta$

(1) The case when $\alpha = \beta = 0$: For all $a, b \in F_q^*$ we have

Hence we have the following formulae which determines the dimension of the Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$.

THEOREM 2.6
$$\dim_k E(N, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(q-3) + 2 & \text{if } p \neq 2 \\ \frac{1}{2}(q-2) + 2 & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases}$$

§3. THE TRANSVERSAL R_{α}

In order to find the structure constants for the algebra $E(N,\lambda)$ using the method described in §1, we need to choose a suitable transversal R_{α} for $\left\{x(N\cap^{g_{\alpha}}N); x\in N\right\}$, $\alpha\in F_q^*\setminus\{1,-1\}$. From 2.4 and 2.5 we need to distinguish two cases:

$$|N \cap {}^{g_0}N| = q-1 \text{ and so } |R_0| = \frac{2(q-1)^2}{q-1} = 2(q-1). \text{ Since }$$

$$|N \cap {}^{g_0}N| = q-1 \text{ and so } |R_0| = \frac{2(q-1)^2}{q-1} = 2(q-1). \text{ Since }$$

$${x \choose 0}_0 |N \cap {}^{g_0}N| = {x \choose 0}_0 |N \cap {}^{g_0}N| = {xy^{-1} \choose 0}_0 |N \cap {}^{g_0}N| = {xy^{-1} \choose 0}_1 |N \cap {}^{g_0}N|,$$
 and
$${0 \choose y}_0 |N \cap {}^{g_0}N| = {0 \choose y}_0 |N \cap {y^{-1} \choose 0}_0 |N \cap {$$

(2) The case when $\alpha \in F_q^* \setminus \{1,-1\}$. By 2.4(2) we have

$$N \cap^{g_{\alpha}} N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}; x \in F_q^* \right\} \quad \text{and so } \left| N \cap^{g_{\alpha}} N \right| = 2(q-1), \text{ hence}$$

$$\left| R_{\alpha} \right| = \frac{2(q-1)^2}{2(q-1)} = q - 1. \text{ Since}$$

$$g_{\alpha}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} g_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha x - y}{\alpha - 1} & \frac{\alpha (x - y)}{\alpha - 1} \\ \frac{y - x}{\alpha - 1} & \frac{\alpha y - x}{\alpha - 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and
$$g_{\alpha}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha x - y}{\alpha - 1} & \frac{\alpha^2 x - y}{\alpha - 1} \\ & & \\ \frac{y - x}{\alpha - 1} & \frac{y - \alpha x}{\alpha - 1} \end{pmatrix}$$
. Therefore

$$g_{\alpha}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} g_{\alpha} \in N \Leftrightarrow either (\alpha = -1, x = -y) \text{ or } (x = y).$$
 Similarly

$$g_{\alpha}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}g_{\alpha} \in N \Leftrightarrow either(\alpha = -1, x = y) \text{ or } (\alpha x = y).$$

The following determines the λ -compatible (N,N)-cosets of GL(2,q)

PROPOSITION 2.5 The coset $D_{\alpha} = Ng_{\alpha}N$ is λ -compatible if and only if $\alpha \neq -1$.

PROOF If $\alpha \neq -1$, then from 2.4 each element of $N^{g_{\alpha}} \cap N$ is either of the form $g_{\alpha}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} g_{\alpha} \in N_1$ or $g_{\alpha}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ \alpha x & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{\alpha} \in N_2$. Therefore $\lambda^{g_{\alpha}} \Big|_{N^{g_{\alpha}} \cap N} = \lambda$ and so D_{α} is λ -compatible. Conversely suppose that $\alpha = -1$ then again by 2.4, each element of $N^{g_{-1}} \cap N$ is of the form $g_{-1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$. But $\lambda^{g_{-1}} (g_{-1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{-1}) = \lambda (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = -1$, while $\lambda(g_{-1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{-1}) = \lambda(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}) = 1$. Hence D_{-1} is not λ -compatible. \square

If we denote by $\langle N \setminus G/N \rangle_{\lambda}$ the set of λ -compatible (N,N)-cosets in GL(2,q) then from the previous results we conclude that $\langle N \setminus G/N \rangle_{\lambda} = \{N, D_0 = Ng_0N, D_{\alpha} = Ng_{\alpha}N \ (= D_{\alpha^{-1}}), \ \alpha \in F_q^* \setminus \{1,-1\}\}$

The following table gives the size of each (N,N)-double cosets in GL(2,q).

D	N	D_0	D_{-1}	$D_{\alpha}(=D_{\alpha^{-1}}); \alpha \neq 0,1,-1$
	$2(q-1)^2$	$4(q-1)^3$	$(q-1)^3$	$2(q-1)^3$

Note that

$$2(q-1)^{2} + 4(q-1)^{3} + (q-1)^{3} + (q-3)(q-1)^{3} = (q-1)^{2}(q^{2}+q) = |GL(2,q)|$$

In order to determine the dimension of the Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$ we need to determine the λ -compatible (N,N)-cosets. For that purpose we first describe the subgroups $N \cap^{g_{\alpha}} N$; $\alpha \in F_q - \{1\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.4 For each $\alpha \in F_q - \{1\}$, we have

(1) If
$$\alpha = 0$$
, then $N \cap {}^{g_{\alpha}}N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}; x \in F_q^* \right\}$

(2) If
$$\alpha = -1$$
, then $N \cap^{g_{\alpha}} N =$

$$\begin{cases} g_{-1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & -x \end{pmatrix} g_{-1}, g_{-1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} g_{-1}, g_{-1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ -x & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{-1}, g_{-1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_{-1}; x \in F_q^* \end{cases}$$

$$N \cap^{g_\alpha} N = \begin{cases} g_\alpha^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} g_\alpha, g_\alpha^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ \alpha x & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_\alpha; x \in F_q^* \end{cases} \text{ when } \alpha \neq 0, -1.$$

PROOF

(1) If
$$x, y \in F_q^*$$
, then $g_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ x - y & x \end{pmatrix} \in N \Leftrightarrow x = y$. On the other hand $g_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} y & y \\ x - y & -y \end{pmatrix} \notin N$. Therefore we have
$$N \cap {}^{g_0}N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}; x \in F_q^* \right\}.$$

(2) Now suppose that $\alpha \in F_q^* - \{1\}$. Then

PROOF Suppose that $g \in GL(2,q)$. Then the number of entries of g which equal 0 is either (1) two, (2) one or (3) none. In the first case $g \in N$ and so NgN = N.

(2) If
$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix}$$
; $x, y, z \in \mathbf{F_q}^*$, then $\begin{pmatrix} z & y \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in NgN$ and so $NgN = D_0$. The same is true if $g = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & z \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$.

(3) If
$$g = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix}$$
; $x, y, z, \in \mathbf{F_q}^* - \{1\}$ and $t \in \mathbf{F_q} - \{1\}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & y^{-1}z^{-1}xt \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & xy^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in NgN$$

Therefore $NgN = D_{\alpha}$; where $\alpha = y^{-1}z^{-1}xt$.

DEFINITION If
$$g = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2,q)$$
, define $\pi(g) = y^{-1}z^{-1}xt$.

LEMMA 2.2 If $g' = n_1 g n_2 \in NgN$ then $\pi(g) = \pi(g')$ or $\pi(g) = \pi(g')^{-1}$. Hence $D_{\alpha} = D_{\alpha^{-1}}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{F_q^*} - \{1\}$.

PROOF: If
$$n_1, n_2 \in N_1$$
 then $\pi(g) = \pi(g')$. If $n_1 \in N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} N_1$ and

$$n_2 \in N_1$$
 then $\pi(g') = \pi(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x) = \pi(x)^{-1} = \pi(g)^{-1}$, where $x \in NgN$.

Similarly if
$$n_1 \in N_1, n_2 \in N_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} N_1$$
, then $\pi(g') = \pi(g)^{-1}$ and if n_1, n_2

are either in
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} N_1$$
 or $N_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\pi(g) = \pi(g')$.

The above lemma implies at once the following

PROPOSITION 2.3 (1) The Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$ is commutative.

(2) If p = 0, then the kG-module $Y(N, \lambda)$ is multiplicity free.

PROOF (1) This follows from ([7], p.28), since $D_{\alpha} = D_{\alpha^{-1}}$ from lemma 2.2.

(2) See ([4], p.306 Exercise 18).

REMARK When $\lambda = 1_H$; the trivial character of H, then the formula in 1.2 coincides with the one proved in ([7], p.15).

Now we take G=GL(n,q); the general linear group defined over the finite field $\mathbf{F_q}$, where \mathbf{q} is a power of prime number p and let N be the set of all monomial (permutation) matrices in G. Then N is a subgroup of G in which every matrix has a unique non-zero coefficient in each row and in each column. Hence there is a group epimorphism $\mu: N \to S_n$ with $\ker \mu = T$; the set of diagonal matrices in G. Therefore we may lift the sign representation ε of S_n to a representation λ of N via μ ; that is we let $\lambda(n) = \varepsilon(\mu(n))$ for all $n \in N$. We are interested in the Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$ and we shall concentrate on the case when n=2.

§2. THE CASE WHEN G=GL(2,q)

We now take G=GL(2,q), where q is a power of a prime number p.

Then
$$N = N_1 \cup N_2$$
; where $N_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}; x, y \in F_q, xy \neq 0 \right\}$ and

$$N_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}; x, y \in F_q, xy \neq 0 \right\}$$
 The multiplicative character

 $\lambda: N \to k^{\times}$ defined above is then given by

$$\lambda(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in N_1 \\ -1 & \text{if } n \in N_2 \end{cases}$$

Write p for the characteristic of k and note that $\lambda = 1_N$ if p = 2.

In order to study the structure of the Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$, the first step is to find a k-basis for this algebra. As we have seen in the previous section this is equivalent to determining the set of λ -compatible (N,N)-cosets in G. First we shall determine a transversal for the set of double cosets of the subgroup N in GL(2,q). For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q - \{1\}$, let

$$g_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2,q).$$

PROPOSITION 2.1 $\{N, D_{\alpha} = Ng_{\alpha}N; \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{q}} - \{1\} \}$ is the set of (N,N)-cosets in GL(2,q).

LEMMA 1.1 ([2], 2.2)

- $(1) \dim_k (E(H, \lambda)) = |J_{\lambda}|.$
- (2) $\{a_x, x \in J_\lambda\}$ is a k-basis of $E(H,\lambda)$. If $a_x a_y = \sum t_{x,y,z} a_z$, $(x,y,z \in J_\lambda)$, then $t_{x,y,z}$ all belong to the subring of k generated by $\lambda(H)$.

<u>DEFINITION</u> If $x \in J_{\lambda}$, then the coset NxN is called λ -compatible.

The k-algebra $E(H,\lambda)$ is called the *Hecke algebra* associated with the triple (G,H,λ) . The scalars $t_{x,y,z}$ are called the *structure constants* of the algebra $E(H,\lambda)$. It is known (see [9],§1.5) that any finite dimensional algebra is determined (up to isomorphism) by its structure constants. To determine the constants $t_{x,y,z}$, we note that

$$\begin{split} a_x a_y([H]_{\lambda}) &= \sum_{z \in J_{\lambda}} t_{x,y,z} a_z([H]_{\lambda}) \\ &= \sum_{z \in J_{\lambda}} t_{x,y,z} \sum_{s \in R_z} \lambda(s) sz[H]_{\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{z \in J_{\lambda}} \sum_{s \in R_z} t_{x,y,z} \lambda(s) sz[H]_{\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{z \in J_{\lambda}} (t_{x,y,z} z[H]_{\lambda} + \sum_{1 \neq s \in R_z} t_{x,y,z} \lambda(s) sz[H]_{\lambda}) \end{split}$$

Therefore $t_{x,y,z} = coeffecient \ of \ z[H]_{\lambda} \ in \ a_x a_y([H]_{\lambda})$. On the other hand $a_x a_y([H]_{\lambda}) = a_x(\sum_{z \in R_{-}} \lambda(r) r y [H]_{\lambda})$

$$= \sum_{r \in R_{y}} \lambda(r) rya_{x}([H]_{\lambda})$$

$$= \sum_{r \in R_{y}} \lambda(r) ry \sum_{v \in R_{x}} \lambda(v) vx[H]_{\lambda}$$

$$= \sum_{r \in R_{y}, v \in R_{x}} \lambda(r) \lambda(v) ryvx[H]_{\lambda}$$

Now the set $\{z[H]_{\lambda}, z \in G \setminus H\}$ is linearly independent in the group algebra kG. Therefore by comparing the coefficients we get the following.

PROPOSITION 1.2
$$t_{x,y,z} = \sum_{\substack{r \in R_y, v \in R_x \\ r \lor vx = zh \in zH}} \lambda(r)\lambda(v)\lambda(h) \qquad \Box$$

[4],§67); no trace in the literature concerning the Hecke algebra $E(G,N,\lambda)$. The aim of this paper is to investigate the structure of this algebra in the case when G=GL(2,q). It turns out that although the double cosets of N in G are not as manageable as those of B (see [4], theorem 65.4), the generating basis for the Hecke algebra $E(G,N,\lambda)$ satisfy certain natural identities (Proposition 4.9). We shall prove those identities in §4 after determining a standard basis (Proposition 3.5 & Theorem 3.6) and the structure constants of $E(G,N,\lambda)$ (Theorem 5.6) and use them to characterize the set of idempotents in the Hecke algebra $E(G,N,\lambda)$ (Proposition 6.3). We apply a polynomial algebra technique (§5) to those identities to construct a set of orthogonal idempotents whose sum is the identity of $E(G,N,\lambda)$. Kreig ([7], Theorem 3.4), proved that any Hecke algebra of dimension ≤ 5 is commutative. The case we consider here turns out to be commutative and hence provides an example of a commutative Hecke algebra of large dimension. Towards the end of the paper we give a partial characterization of the units in this Hecke algebra.

§1. HECKE ALGEBRAS AND THEIR STRUCTURE CONSTANTS

Let G be a finite group, H a subgroup of G, k is a field and $\lambda: H \to k^{\times}$ be a multiplicative character of H. $[H]_{\lambda} = \sum_{h \in H} \lambda(h^{-1})h \in kH$. It is clear that $[H]_{\lambda} h = h[H]_{\lambda} = \lambda(h)[H]_{\lambda}$, for all $h \in H$. The left ideal $kG[H]_{\lambda}$ of kG generated by $[H]_{\lambda}$, when regarded as a left kG-module, is isomorphic to the induced kG-module $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G(L_\lambda)$, where L_{λ} is a one-dimensional kH-module which affords λ . If $x \in G$, write $H^x = x^{-1}Hx$ and let λ^x be the multiplicative character of H^x given $\lambda^{x}(x^{-1}hx) = \lambda(h)$ for all $h \in H$ $H \setminus G/H = \{ D_x := HxH ; x \in I \}$ be the set of distinct (H,H)-double cosets of H in G and let $J_{\lambda} = \{x \in I : \lambda^x = \lambda \text{ on } H^x \cap H \}$. Write $Y(H,\lambda) = kG[H]_{\lambda}$ and let $E(H,\lambda) = End_{kG}(Y(H,\lambda))$; the endomorphism algebra of the kG-module $Y(H,\lambda)$ If $x \in J_{\lambda}$, write $H = \bigcup_{r \in R_{\lambda}} r(H \cap^{x} H)$ and assume that $1 \in R_x$ where 1 is the identity of G. Define $a_x \in E(H, \lambda)$ as

$$a_x([H]_{\lambda}) = \sum_{r \in R} \lambda(r) r x [H]_{\lambda}$$

follows:

THE STRUCTURE OF A HECKE ALGEBRA FOR THE GENERAL LINEAR GROUPS

Ahmed Ali Khammash

Department of mathematical sciences, Umm Algura University, P.O.Box 7296 Makkah, Saudi Arabia

Abstract: We study the structure of the Hecke algebra $E(N,\lambda)$ where N is the monomial matrices of degree two over a finite field and λ is the multiplicative character of N lifted from the sign character of the symmetric group. We give a standard basis and determine the structure constants for this Hecke algebra. We also use this presentation together with a polynomial algebra technique to construct an orthogonal idempotent decomposition in $E(N,\lambda)$ and partially characterize its units. The set of idempotents in this Hecke algebra is also characterized.

Keywords: Hecke algebra, Structure constants

Mathematical subject classification: Primary 20C33 - Secondary 16S50

§0. INTRODUCTION

Hecke algebras play a very important role in the representation of finite groups. One of the most striking examples that show the significance of Hecke algebras in this manner is the Hecke algebra $E(G,B,\mathbf{1_B})$, associated with the triple $(G,B,\mathbf{1_B})$ where G is a finite group of Lie type B is a Borel subgroup of B and $\mathbf{1_B}$ is the trivial character of B. Every such group has a structure of split BN-pair (G,B,N,R,U) (see for example [1],[3],[5],[6],[10]). Let G=GL(n,q); the general linear group with coefficient taken from a finite field $\mathbf{F_q}$, where \mathbf{q} is a power of some prime, with its standard split BN-pair (see [4], §65B), where B is taken to be the set of upper triangular matrices and B is the set of monomial matrices. Then B is an extension of the symmetric group B0 and as such it has a multiplicative character B1 given by lifting the sign character of B2. Although the Hecke algebra B3 given by lifting the sign character of see for instance [5] and



C) Umm Al Qura University, 2002

King Fahd National Library Cataloging - in Publication Data Khammash, Ahmed A.

The structure of a hecke algebra for the general lilnear group ($GL(2,p^n)$ - Makkah Al-Mukarramah.

24 P; 17 X 24 cm

ISBN: 9960 - 03 - 584 -0

1 - Algebra 2 - Algebras, linear I - Title

512 dc -

1343 / 23

Legal Deposit no. 1343 / 23 ISBN: 9960-03 - 584 - 0

FIRST EDITION

All Rights reserve
Umm Al - Qura University

KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA

MINISTRY OF HIGHER EDUCATION

UMM AL-QURA UNIVERSITY

Institute for Scientific Research

Applied Science Research Center

Makkah Al-Mukarramah



A Series of Research on Applied Science

The Structure of A hecke Algebra For The General Linear Group $(GL(2,p^n))$

Dr. Ahmed A. Khammash

Department of Mathematical Sciences Umm Al-Qura Universitry, Makkah, Saudi Arabia